कुकडी एज्युकेशन सोसायटीचे



सावित्रीबाई कला महाविद्यालय

पिंपळगांव पिसा, ता.श्रीगोंदा, जि. अ.नगर ४१३७०३ फोन नं.(०२४८७) २५४०९५, फॅक्स नं.(०२४८७) २५४०९५. मो. ९४०३९६५४४४

नॅक प्रमाणित'सी'दर्जा प्राप्त

website:-www.savitribaicollegeofarts.in email-savitribaicollege295@gmail.com savitribaicollege@gmail.com Permanently Affiliated to Savitribai Phule Pune University. ID.NO.PU/AN/A/581999, Code - No. 295. Affiliated to UGC Under Section 2 (F) & 12 (B) F.No. 8- 166/2013 (CPP-I/C) dated 7th May 2013

स्व. श्री.कुंडलिकराव रामराव जगताप पाटील

संस्थापक - कुकडी एज्युकेशन सोसायटी, कर्मयोगी कुंडलिकराव रामराव जगताप पाटील कुकडी सहकारी साखर कारखाना लि. पिंपळगाव पिसा



श्रीमती अनुराधाताई जगताप पा.

अध्यक्ष कुकडी एज्युकेशन सोसायटी पिंपळगाव पिसा

मा.आमदार राहुलदादा जगताप पा. कर्मयोगी कुंडलिकराव रामराव जगताप पाटील कृकडी सहकारी साखर कारखाना लि. पिंपळगाव पिसा कुकडी एज्युकेशन सोसायटी पिंपळगाव पिसा

डॉ. शिवाजीराव ढगे प्राचार्य एम.ए.एलएल.बी.,एम.एड.,एम.फिल.,पीएब्.डी. जा.कं.

Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC): 2021-22


Feedback Report

1.4.1 Institution Obtains feedback on the syllabus and its transaction at the institute from the following stakeholders.

Student Feedback


	Response in %				
Questions	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average	
Applicability /relevance to real life situations & local developmental needs	32.9	56.1	8.5	2.4	
2. Weightage given to Employability.	30.5	51.8	15.9	1.8	
3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship.	38.4	46.3	12.2	3	
4. Weightage given to Skill development.	43.9	43.3	10.4	2.4	
5. Weightage given to Project.	39.6	45.1	11.6	3.7	
6. Weightage given to practical and field work component.	38.4	51.8	7.9	1.8	
7. Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject.	35.4	43.9	19.5	1.2	
8. Difficulty level of course content.	22.6	53	17.7	6.7	
9. Fulfilment of Learning objectives.	39.6	48.2	9.8	2.4	
10. Level of Course Outcomes.	35.4	51.2	9.1	4.3	
11. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	39.6	45.7	10.4	4.3	
12. Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading/ Reference material /Study material.	34.8	52.4	9.1	3.7	
13. Creation of interest to pursue higher education.	43.9	45.1	8.5	2.4	
14. Overall rating.	35.4	47.6	14	3	

1. Applicability /relevance to real life situations & local developmental needs 164 responses




2. Weightage given to Employability.

164 responses



3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship.


164 responses



TOLLEGE OF TO NO. TO NO


4. Weightage given to Skill development.

164 responses




5. Weightage given to Project.

164 responses



${\bf 6.} \ Weightage \ given \ to \ practical \ and \ field \ work \ component.$


164 responses






7. Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject.

164 responses




8. Difficulty level of course content.

164 responses



9. Fulfilment of Learning objectives.


164 responses






10. Level of Course Outcomes.

164 responses




11. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)

164 responses




12. Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading/ Reference material /Study material. 164 responses






13. Creation of interest to pursue higher education.

164 responses



14. Overall rating.

164 responses






Teacher's Feedback

Questions	Response in %			
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
1. Applicability/ relevance to real life situations & local developmentalneeds.	46.2	53.8	-	-
2. Weightage given to Employability.	23.1	61.5	15.4	-
3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship.	30.8	53.8	15.4	-
4. Weightage given to Skill Development.	38.5	46.2	15.4	-
5. Weightage given to Project	15.4	76.9	7.7	-
6. Weightage given to practical and field work component.	46.2	38.5	15.4	-
7. Depth of the course content.	38.5	61.5	-	-
8. Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject.	30.8	53.8	15.4	-
9. Difficulty level of course content.	15.4	61.5	15.4	7.7
10. Optimization of course content.	46.2	38.5	15.4	-
11. Mechanism used for development /revision of curriculum by BoS (e.g. feedback from educationalist, industry expert)	30.8	53.8	15.4	-
12. Relevance of learning objectives of the syllabus.	38.5	46.2	15.4	-
13. Relevance of Course outcomes.	46.2	46.2	7.7	-
14. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skill, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	53.8	38.5	7.7	-
15. Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading /Reference material/ Study material.	46.2	46.2	7.7	
16. Overall rating	38.5	53.8	7.7	-

COLLEGE OF TO MO. TO MO


1. Applicability/ relevance to real life situations & local developmentalneeds.

13 responses




2. Weightage given to Employability.

13 responses



3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship.


13 responses






4. Weightage given to Skill Development.

13 responses




5. Weightage given to Project

13 responses



6. Weightage given to practical and field work component.


13 responses






7. Depth of the course content.

13 responses




8. Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject.

13 responses



9. Difficulty level of course content.


13 responses






10. Optimization of course content.

13 responses




11. Mechanism used for development /revision of curriculum by BoS (e.g. feedback from educationalist, industry expert)

13 responses



12. Relevance of learning objectives of the syllabus.


13 responses






13. Relevance of Course outcomes.

13 responses




14. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skill, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)

13 responses




15. Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading /Reference material/ Study material. 13 responses





16. Overall rating

13 responses






Alumni Feedback

	Response in %			
Questions	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
1. Applicability/ relevance to real life situations & local developmental needs.	41.5	58.5	-	-
2. Weightage given to Employability.	34.1	58.5	7.3	-
3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship.	36.6	63.4	-	-
4. Weightage given to Skill Development.	41.5	51.2	7.3	-
5. Weightage given to Project	29.3	63.4	7.3	-
6. Weightage given to practical and field work component.	39	56.1	4.9	-
7. Depth of the course content.	43.9	48.8	7.3	-
8. Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject.	31.7	63.4	4.9	-
9. Difficulty level of course content.	31.7	58.5	9.8	-
10. Optimization of course content.	26.8	61	12.2	-
11. Mechanism used for development /revision of curriculum by BoS (e.g. feedback from educationalist, industry expert)	36.6	56.1	7.3	-
12. Relevance of learning objectives of the syllabus.	43.9	52.2	4.9	-
13. Relevance of Course outcomes.	41.5	56.1	2.4	-
14. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skill, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	36.6	61	2.4	-
15. Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading /Reference material/ Study material.	36.6	56.1	3.7	-
16. Overall rating	39	58.5	2.4	-


COLLEGE OF TO NO. 1D NO

- 1. Applicability/ relevance to real life situations & local developmental needs.
- 41 responses




2. Weightage given to Employability.

41 responses



3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship


41 responses






4. Weightage given to Skill development

41 responses




5. Weightage given to Project

41 responses



6. Weightage given to practical and field work component


41 responses






7. Depth of the course content

41 responses




8. Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject

41 responses



9. Difficulty level of course content


41 responses






10. Optimization of course content

41 responses




11. Mechanism used for development /revision of curriculum by Bos (e.g. feedback from educationalist, industry expert)

41 responses



12. Relevance of learning objectives of the syllabus


41 responses






13. Relevance of Course outcomes

41 responses




14. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)

41 responses



15. Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading/ Reference material / Study material.

41 responses





16. Overall rating

41 responses

